505.20 EWP Recovery Measure Forms

Damage Survey Report (DSR)

United States Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service

OMB No. 0578-0030 NRCS-PDM-20

	Se	ection 1A	
Data of Danier			
Date of Report: DSR Number:		NRCS Entry Only	NO
Project Number:		Approved: YES Funding Priority Nu	MO mber (from Section 4)
		Limited Resource A	rea: YES NO
Sponsor Name:	Section 1B S ₁	ponsor Information	
Address:			
City/State/Zip:			
Telephone Number:		ax:	
	Section 1C Site	Location Information	
County:	State:	Congression	onal District:
Latitude:	Longitude:	Section:	Township:
Range:			
UTM Coordinates:			
Drainage Name:			
Reach:			
Damage Description:			

Section 1D Site Evaluation

All answers	in this	Section	must be	YES in	order to	be eligible	for E	EWP	assistance.

Site Eligibility	YES	NO	Remarks
Damage was a result of a natural disaster?*			
Recovery measures would be for runoff retardation or soil erosion prevention?*			
Threat to life and/or property?*			
Event caused a sudden impairment in the watershed?*			
Imminent threat was created by this event?**			
For structural repairs, not repaired twice within ten years?**			
Site Defensibility			
Economic, environmental, and social documentation adequate to warrant action? (Go to pages 3, 4, 5 and 6 ***)			
Proposed action technically viable? (Go to Page 9 ***)			

Have all the appropriate steps been taken to ensure that all segments of the affected population have been informed of the EWP program and its possible effects? YES NO
Comments:
* Statutory

Continued Page 1 of 14 Approved 7/2005

^{**} Regulation
*** DSR Pages 3 through 6 and 9 are required to support the decisions recorded on this summary page. If additional space is needed on this or any other page in this form, add appropriate pages.

Section 1E Proposed Action					
Describe the preferred alternative from Findings: Section 5 A:					
Total installation cost identified in this DSR: Section 3: \$					
Section 1F NRCS State Office Review and Approval					
Reviewed By: Date Reviewed: State EWP Program Manager					
Approved By: Date Approved: State Conservationist					
PRIVACY ACT AND PUBLIC BURDEN STATEMENT					
NOTE: The following statement is made in accordance with the Privacy Act of 1974, (5 U.S.C. 552a) and the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, as amended. The authority for requesting the following information is 7 CFR 624 (EWP) and Section 216 of the Flood Control Act of 1950, Public Law 81-516, 33 U.S.C. 701b-1; and Section 403 of the Agricultural Credit Act of 1978, Public Law 95-334, as amended by Section 382, of the Federal Agriculture Improvement and Reform Act of 1996, Public Law 104-127, 16 U.S.C. 2203. EWP, through local sponsors, provides emergency measures for runoff retardation and soil erosion control to areas where a sudden impairment of a watershed threatens life or property. The Secretary of Agriculture has delegated the administration of EWP to the Chief of NRCS on state, tribal and private lands. Signing this form indicates the sponsor concurs and agrees to provide the cost-share to implement the EWP recovery measure(s) determined eligible by NRCS under the terms and conditions of the program authority. Failure to provide a signature will result in the applicant being unable					
to apply for or receive a grant the applicable program authorities. Once signed by the sponsor, this information may not be provided to other agencies. IRS, Department of Justice, or other State or Federal Law Enforcement agencies, and in response to a court or administrative tribunal.					
The provisions of criminal and civil fraud statutes, including 18 U.S.C. 286, 287, 371, 641, 651, 1001; 15 U.S.C. 714m; and 31 U.S.C. 3729 may also be applicable to the information provided. According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0578-0030. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 117/1.96 minutes/hours per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, field reviews, gathering, designing, and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection information.					
USDA NONDISCRIMINATION STATEMENT					
"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable, sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.)					
Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination write USDA, Director of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20250-941 0 or call (800)795-3272 (voice) or (202)720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.					
Civil Rights Statement of Assurance					
The program or activities conducted under this agreement will be in compliance with the nondiscrimination provisions contained in the Titles VI and VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended; the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987 (Public Law 100-259); and other nondiscrimination statutes: namely, Section 504 or the Rehabilitation Act of 1973, Title IX of the Amendments of 1972, the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, and the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. They will also be in accordance with regulations of the Secretary of Agriculture (7 CFR 15, 15a, and 15b), which provide that no person in the United States shall on the grounds of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance from the U.S. Department of Agriculture or any agency thereof.					
2 of 14 DSR NO: Approved 7/2005					
11pp10ved 1/2003					

	S	Section 2 Environmental	l Evaluation	
2A Resource Concerns	2B Existing Condition	2C Alternatives		
Concerns		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
		2D Effects of Alternatives	I	
Soil		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Water				
Downstream water rights				
1.5.1.0				
Air				
Air				
Plant				
Animal				
Other				

Page 3 of 14 Approved 7/2005

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns

Resource	Existing Condition	Alternatives and Effects		
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Clean Water Act				
Waters of the U.S.				
Coastal Zone Management Areas				
Coral Reefs				
Cultural Resources				
Endangered and Threatened Species				
Environmental Justice				
Essential Fish Habitat				
Fish and Wildlife Coordination				
Floodplain Management				

	Page 4 of 14
DSR NO:	Approved 7/2005

Section 2E Special Environmental Concerns (continued)

Resource	Existing Condition	Alternatives and Effect	ets	
Consideration		Proposed Action	No Action	Alternative
Invasive Species				
Migratory Birds				
Natural Areas	_			
Prime and Unique Farmlands				
Riparian Areas				
Scenic Beauty				
Wetlands				
Wild and Scenic Rivers				
		•	1	
Sections 2A-E Comp	leted By:		Date:	
				Continued 4 of
No				Approved 7/20

Section 2F Economic

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Item	Future Damages (\$)	Damage Factor (%)	Near Term Damage Reduction
Properties Protected (Private)			
Properties Protected (Public)			
Business Losses			
Other			
Total Near Term Damage Reduction \$			
Net Benefit (Total Near Term Damage Reduc	tion minus Cost from Sec	tion 3) \$	
ection 2F Completed By:		Date:	

Section 2G Social Consideration

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Item	YES	NO	Remarks
Has there been a loss of life as a result of the watershed impairment?			
Is there the potential for loss of life due to damages from the watershed impairment?			
Has access to a hospital or medical facility been impaired by watershed impairment?			
Has the community as a whole been adversely impacted by the watershed impairment (life and property ceases to operate in a normal capacity)			
Is there a lack or has there been a reduction of public safety due to watershed impairment?			

Section 2G Completed By:	Date:
1 7	

Page 6 of 14
DSR No. _____
Approved 7/2005

Section 2H Group Representation Information

This section is completed only for the preferred alternative selected.

Group Representation	Number
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Female Non-Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Hispanic	
American Indian/Alaska Native Male Non-Hispanic	
Asian Female Hispanic	
Asian Female Non-Hispanic	
Asian Male Hispanic	
Asian Male Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Hispanic	
Black or African American Female Non-Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Hispanic	
Black or African American Male Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Female Non-Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Hispanic	
Hawaiian Native/Pacific Islander Male Non-Hispanic	
White Female Hispanic	
White Female Non-Hispanic	
White Male Hispanic	
White Male Non-Hispanic	
Total Group	
ensus tract(s)	
ection 2H Completed By:	Date:
0	Page Approved

Section 2I Consultation/ Coordination	
Required consultation or coordination between the lead agency and/or the RFO and another governmental unit including tribes:	
Easements, permissions, or permits:	
Mitigation Description:	
Agencies, persons, and references consulted, or to be consulted:	
Agencies, persons, and references consumed, of to be consumed.	
Page 8 of	14
Page 8 of Approved 7/200	05

Section 3 Engineering Cost Estimate

This section must be completed by each alternative considered (attach additional sheets as necessary).

Proposed Recovery Measure (including mitigation)	Quantity	Units	Unit Cost (\$)	Amount (\$)
	Total Ir	nstallation Cost (Ent	er in Section 1F) \$	

Acre	LS Lump Sum
Cubic Yard	SF Square Feet
Each	SY Square Yard
Hour	TN Ton
Linear Feet	Other (Specify)
	Cubic Yard Each Hour

Section 3 Com	alatad Dr		Data
Section 5 Com	oleted By	·	Date:

DSR N0. _____ Page 9 of 14
Approved 7/2005

Section 4 NRCS EWP Funding Priority

Complete the following section to compute the funding priority for the recovery measures in this application (see instructions on page 14).

Priority Ranking Criteria	Yes	No		Ranking Number Plus Modifier
1. Is this an exigency situation?			_	
2. Is this a site where there is serious, but not immediate threat to human life?				
3. Is this a site where buildings, utilities, or other important infrastructure components are threatened?			-	
4. Is this site a funding priority established by the NRCS Chief?				
The following are modifiers for the above criteria			Modifier	
a. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve federally-listed threatened and endangered species or critical habitat?				
b. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve cultural sites listed on the National Register of Historic Places?				
c. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve prime or important farmland?				
d. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve existing wetlands?				
e. Will the proposed action or alternatives maintain or improve current water quality conditions?				
f. Will the proposed action or alternatives protect or conserve unique habitat, including but not limited to, areas inhabited by State-listed species, fish and wildlife management area, or State identified sensitive habitats?				
Enter priority computation in Section 1A, NRCS Entry, Funding priority n	umber.			
Remarks:				
				Page 10 of

(390-V-NEWPPM, First Edition, July 2006)

DSR No. _

Approved 7/2005

Section 5A Findings Finding: Indicate the preferred alternative from Section 2 (Enter from Section 1E): I have considered the effects of the action and the alternatives on the Environmental Economic, Social; the Special Environmental Concerns; and the extraordinary circumstances (40 CFR 1508.27). I find for the reasons stated below, that the preferred alternative: ____ Has been sufficiently analyzed in the EWP PEIS (reference all that apply) Chapter _____ Chapter _____ Chapter _____ Chapter _____ Chapter _____ __ May require the preparation of an environmental assessment or environmental impact statement. The action will be referred to the NRCS State Office on this date: NRCS representative of the DSR team Section 5B **Comments: Section 5C** Sponsor Concurrence: ____ Sponsor Representative Date: _____ **Section 6 Attachments:** A. Location Map B. Site Plan or Sketches C. Other (explain) 11 of 14 DSR No. _____ Approved 7/2005

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING THE NRCS-PDM-20, DSR

Section	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes	
1	Enter Site Sponsor, Location, Evaluation, Selected Alternative, and Reviewed and Approval Signatures.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from	
1A	Enter the Date, DSR Number, Project Number. For NRCS only enter Eligible Yes/No, Approved Yes/No, Funding Priority Number, and Limited Resource Area Yes/No.	Sponsor except for NRCS only portion of Section	
1B	Enter Sponsor Name, Address, Telephone, Fax	1A.	
1C	Enter site location County, State, Congressional District, Latitude, Longitude, Section, Township, Range, UTM Coordinates, Drainage Name, Reach within drainage, and Damage Description.		
1D	Enter Yes/No and any Remarks for the Site Evaluation information. Any No response means the site is not eligible for EWP assistance and no further information is necessary to complete the DSR. (See NEWPPM 390-502.03 and 390-502-04)		
	Enter Yes/No regarding whether the affected public has been informed of the EWP program.		
1E	Enter the proposed treatment and the cost of installation.	NRCS only.	
1F	NRCS Review and Approval.		
2	Use available natural resource, economic, and social, information, including the EWP Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (PEIS), to briefly describe the effects of the alternatives to the proposed action including the "no action" alternative. The no action alternative is the predicted future condition if no action is taken. Typically, the proposed action and no action are the alternatives considered for EWP recovery measures due to the focus on repairing or preventing damages within a watershed. However, in cases where additional alternatives are considered, include all pertinent information to adequately address the additional alternatives (e.g., proposed action would be bio-engineering for bank stabilization, no action alternative, and an additional alternative may be riprap for bank stabilization). Do not leave blanks where a consideration is not applicable, use NA to indicate the factor was considered but not applicable for the alternative.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.	

Page 12 of 14 Approved 7/2005

Section	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes	
2A	List all resource concerns which are relevant to the area of the proposed action and alternatives. Refer to the National Bulletin 450-5-8 TCH-COMPLETING AND FILING MEASEMENT UNITS FOR RESOURCE CONCERNS IN THE FIELD OFFICE TECHNICAL GUIDE (FOTG). Note: the affected area may extend beyond the construction foot print (e. g. where water quality or water rights are affected downstream of the site.)	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.	
2B	Provide a brief description of the present condition of each resource concern listed in 2A. Quantify conditions where possible. Reference accompanying photographic documentation.		
2C	Briefly summarize the practice/system of practices being proposed, as well as the "no action" alternative is predicted future condition if no action is taken.		
2D	Document the efforts of the proposed action and alternatives for the considerations listed in 2A. Reference applicable quality criteria, information in the CPPE, and quantify effects whenever possible. Consider both long-term and short-term effects. Consider any effects which may be individually minor but cumulatively significant at a larger scale or over an extended time period. Clearly define the differences between proposed action, no action, and the other alternatives.		
2E	Enter Special Environmental Concerns for Clean Water Act Waters of the U.S., Coastal Zone Management Areas, Coral Reefs, Cultural Resources, Endangered and Threatened Species, Environmental Justice, Essential Fish Habitat, Fish and Wildlife Coordination, Floodplain Management, Invasive Species, Migratory Birds, Natural Areas, Prime and Unique Farmlands, Riparian Areas, Scenic Beauty, Wetlands, and Wild and Scenic Rivers for each alternative considered. In the case where the selected alternative from Section 5A impacts a Special Environmental Concern, additional information, coordination, permitting or mitigation may be required and adequate documentation should be prepared and attached to the DSR to identify how NRCS or the Sponsor addressed the concern.		

Continued Page 12 of 14 Approved 7/2005

	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes
2F	Identify Property Protected both private and public, business losses and other economic impacts considered for each alternative. Enter the dollar value of the potential future damages if no action is taken in the Future Damage (5) column. This would be the estimate of the value lost if the EWP recovery measure is not installed. Use the repair cost or damage dollar method to determine the estimate of future damages. The repair cost method uses the costs to return the impaired property, good, or services based on their original pre-event condition or value. The damage dollar method uses an estimate of the future damage to value (e.g. if the structure is condemned, then enter the value of the structure). Enter the estimated amount based upon existing information or information furnished by the sponsor, contractors or others with specific knowledge for recovery from natural disasters for each alternative considered. Often market values for properties or services can be obtained from personnel at the local county/parish tax assessment office.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.
	The DSR team needs to determine the Damage Factor (%) which is a coefficient that indicates the degree of damage reduction to a property that is attributed to the effect of the proposed EWP recovery measures. Use an appropriate estimate of how much of the damage the EWP recovery measure will avoid for the alternative being considered. If the recovery measures from a single site will prevent 100 percent of the damage use 100 percent.	
	The Near Term Damage Reduction is the Future Damage (\$) times the Damage Factor (%). Sum the Near Term Damage Reduction values to calculate the Total Near Term Damage Reduction.	
	Enter the Net Benefit which is computed by subtracting the Cost from section 3 from the total near term damage reduction.	
	The economic section must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary.	

Page 13 of 14 Approved 2/2005

Section	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes
2G	Enter information to describe the potential social impacts and considerations for each alternative. Answer Yes or No and any remarks necessary to adequately address each question.	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from
	The information may be obtained through interviews with community leaders, government officials or sponsors.	Sponsor.
	Factors such as road closures, loss of water, electricity, access to emergency services are used when answering whether the community as a whole has been impaired.	
	This information is part of the environmental evaluation portion of the DSR but may be pertinent in Section 4 regarding priorities.	
	The Social Considerations Section must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary.	
2Н	Enter the Group Representation for the preferred alternative. Use the most recent census tract information based upon where the EWP recovery measures are located.	Sponsor completes.
2I	Enter whether easement, permissions, or permits, and mitigation will require consultation or coordination for the selected alternative (e.g., Clean Water Act section 404 permit, Endangered Species Act section 10 permits, and any State or county permits or requirements).	NRCS completes with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.
	Describe mitigation to be applied that will offset any adverse impacts and attach any documentation from other agencies regarding mitigation requirements.	
3	Enter Proposed Recovery Measure(s) including Quantity, Units, Unit Cost, and Total Amount Cost.	
	Enter sum of all Proposed Recovery Measure Costs to calculate Total Costs. Enter Total Installation Costs in Section 1F.	
	The Engineering Cost Estimate must be completed for each alternative considered. Attach additional sheets as necessary.	

Continued Page 13 of 14 Approved 7/2005

Section	Explanation of Requested Item	Who Completes
4	This section is used to determine the Funding Priority for the preferred alternative and sequence for initiating recovery measures. Enter Yes/No for questions 1 through 4 and enter the number (exigency 1, serious threat to human life 2, etc.) in the right column, Ranking Number Plus Modifier. Complete the Modifier portion by placing the alphabetic indicator a. through f. in the Modifier column. Complete the Ranking Number Plus Modifier column by entering the alphabetic indictor(s) that exists within the site. The number of the site designates the priority (e.g., a site with a designation of 2 is a higher priority that a site with a designation of 3). The modifiers increase the priority for the same numeric site (e.g., a site with a designation of 1a, would be a higher priority than a site with a designation of 2bc would be a higher priority than a site designated as 2b). Enter the Funding Priority in Section 1A.	NRCS complete with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.
5	Enter the Findings, Rationale Supporting Findings, NRCS Representative signature and Comments, and Concurrence signature by the Sponsor(s).	NRCS complete
5A	Indicate the preferred alternative and check the applicable finding being made. The NRCS Representative signs indicating the Finding selected. If the proposed action was adequately addressed in the PEIS, check all appropriate chapter paragraphs.	
5B	Enter any additional Comments.	
5C	Sponsor(s) signature indicating review and concurrence.	Sponsor(s) signature.
6	Include attachments for location map, site sketch or plan and other information as needed.	NRCS complete with voluntary assistance from Sponsor.

Page 14 of 14 Approved 7/2005

Attachment 1 DSR- Summary of Effects Identified in the EWP Programmatic EIS

(5.2.2.1.2) Restore Hydraulic Capacity (Debris removal)

1)	Create access a.
2)	Dewater a.
3)	Use heavy equipment/ grading and shaping a.
4)	Revegetation a.
5)	Dispose of debris (5.2.2.1.3) a. Haul off-site i.
	b. Burn on-site i. ↑ air pollution □ ii. ↑ pH □ iii. ↑ stream temperature □ iv. ↑ wetland filling □ v. ↓ habitat quality □ vi. ↓ fish and invertebrates □

(390-V-NEWPPM, First Edition, July 2006)

	c.	Burn off-site
		i. ↑ air pollution □
		ii. ↑ site disturbance □
		iii. ♥ chemical and biological effects
		iv. ♥ wetland filling □
		V.
	d.	Bury on-site
	u.	i. ↑ short term site disturbance □
		ii. ↑ short term erosion □
		i. ↑ wetland filling □
		ii.
		n. ▼ naortat quanty 🗀
	e.	Bury off-site
	C.	
i.		ii. • effects on habitat and benthic habitat
		iii. ♥ wetland filling □
5222	2 Stream	bank Protection
J.2.2.2.	2 Stream	idalik i fotettioli
1)	Create a	access
- /	a.	♥ vegetation □
	b.	↑ soil compaction
	c.	infiltration
	d.	
	e.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	f.	↑ turbidity ST
	g.	♦ habitat quality □
	5.	• national quanty
2)	Dewate	r
<i>'</i>	a.	♦ aquatic life □
		i.
		ii. ♥ invertebrate attachment surfaces □
		iii. ♥ plunge pool/ habitats □
		iv. • fish recruitment, mortality, species composition, T&E fish species if
		present
	b.	▼ wetland quality □
	c.	↑ turbidity □
	٠.	
3)	Use hea	vy equipment/ grading and shaping
,	a.	♥ vegetative cover □
	b.	↑ soil compaction □
	c.	runoff 🗍
	d.	↑ flow velocity □
	e.	V infiltration □
	f.	↑ soil erosion
	g.	↑ bank erosion
	h.	↑ turbidity ST □
	i.	↑ input of nutrients
	j.	alter channel morphology by ↑ compaction □
	j. k.	★ formation of wetlands (onsite and downstream)
	l.	▼ resident biota
	m.	↑ temperature ST
		dissolved oxygen ST ☐ / ↑ dissolved oxygen LT ☐
	n.	
	0.	 ◆ aquatic biota such as vegetation, and immotile or slow moving species ↑ pollutants (petroleum, oil, lubricants (POLs)
	p.	T pondiants (penoieum, on, nuorieants (FOEs)

(390-V-NEWPPM, First Edition, July 2006)

	q. ↑ fertilizers, pesticides, and other chemicals □	
45		
4)	Borrow of materials	
	 a. ♥ effectiveness of floodplains □ b. ♠ weakened streambanks □ 	
	b. Tweakened streambanks	
5) Installation of structural practices		
- /	a. ✓ vegetative cover	
	b. ↑ flow velocity □	
	c. ψ infiltration	
	d. ↑ soil erosion ST □/ ♦ soil erosion LT □	
	e. ↑ turbidity ST □/ ♦ turbidity LT □	
	f. alter channel morphology by ↑ compaction □	
	g. \uparrow temperature \square	
	h. ♥ dissolved oxygen □	
	i.	
	j. \uparrow pollutants (petroleum, oil, lubricants (POLs)	
	k. fertilizers, pesticides, and other chemicals	
	 I.	
	m. ↑ natural flow regimes*	
	n. ↑ dissolved ox <u>yg</u> en* □	
	o. \uparrow turbulence*	
	p. \uparrow habitat quality*	
	q. ♥ turbidity* □	
	r. ♥ time of installation* □	
	s. ♥ erosion* □	
	t. ♥ pollutants* □	
6)	Revegetation	
0)	a. ♥ soil erosion □	
	b. b. turbidity turbi	
	c. ♥ sedimentation*	
	d. ♥ stream temperature □	
* <u>5.2.3.</u>	1.2 Effects of streambank repair using Rosgen methods	
<u>5.2.2.3.</u>	2 Dam, dike, and levee repair or removal	
1)	Create access	
	a.	
	b. \uparrow soil compaction	
	c. Ψ infiltration \square	
	d. \uparrow soil erosion \square	
	e. Ψ streambank stability \square	
	f. \(\Delta\) turbidity \(\Boxed{\Boxesia}\)	
2)	Dewater	
2)	.I	
	a.	
	ii. ii. iii.	
	iii. iii. plunge pool/ habitats □	
	iv. \bullet fish recruitment, mortality, species composition, T&E fish species if	
	present	
	v. ♥ wetland quality □	
	vi. turbidity	

3)	Install a	_
	a.	
	b.	↑ flow velocity
	c.	♥ infiltration
	d.	
	e.	↑ turbidity ST 🔲 / ♦ turbidity LT 🔲
	f.	alter channel morphology by ↑ compaction □
g. temperature		
	h. i.	◆ dissolved oxygen
	◆ aquatic biota such as vegetation, and immotile or slow moving species □	
	↑ pollutants (petroleum, oil, lubricants (POLs)	
	k.	fertilizers, pesticides, and other chemicals
	1.	♥ riparian and aquatic vegetation
4)	Grade s	shape, and re-vegetate affected streambanks by seeding or planting
.,	a.	▼ vegetative cover □
	b.	↑ flow velocity □
	c.	infiltration
	d.	↑ ponding of water
	e.	soil productivity
	f.	↑soil erosion □
	g.	↑ turbidity □
	h.	alter channel morphology by ↑ compaction □
	i.	↑ temperature
	j.	dissolved oxygen □
	k.	◆ aquatic biota such as vegetation, and immotile or slow moving species □
	1.	↑ pollutants (petroleum, oil, lubricants (POLs)
	m.	
5 \	D:11 /	
5)	Fill/ exc	and the second s
	a.	run-off
	b.	◆ aquatic habitat and biota
	c.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	d.	turbidity migration patterns of salmonids
	e.	▼ migration patterns of samomus [_]
5.2.2.4.	3 Protect	ting structures in floodplains
1)	Create a	22922
',	a.	♥ vegetation □
	b.	↑ soil compaction □
	c.	infiltration
	d.	↑ soil erosion
	e.	streambank stability
	f.	↑ turbidity □
2)		
2)	Dewate	
	a.	♥ aquatic life □
		i. ♥ spawning habitat □
		ii. • invertebrate attachment surfaces
		iii. ♥ plunge pool/ habitats ☐
		iv. ♥ fish recruitment, mortality, species composition, T&E fish species if
		present □ v. ♥ wetland quality □
		v. ♥ wetland quality □ vi. ↑ turbidity □
		vi. Translatty

3)	Use heavy equipment/ grading and shaping				
	a.				
	b.	↑ flow velocity □			
	c.	I			
	d.	↑ soil erosion			
	e.	↑ turbidity □			
	f.	alter channel morphology by ↑ compaction □			
	g.	↑ temperature			
	h.	dissolved oxygen			
	i.	♥ aquatic biota such as vegetation, and immotile or slow moving species			
	j.	↑ pollutants (petroleum, oil, lubricants (POLs)			
	k.	↑ fertilizers, pesticides, and other chemicals			
	1.	Terminates, pessivages, und outer enemieurs			
4)	Reveget	ration			
٠,	a.	▼ soil erosion □			
	b.	♥ turbidity □			
	c.	♦ stream temperature □			
	C.	• stream temperature			
5)	Dispose	of debris (5.2.2.1.3)			
υ,	Dispose	VI STORY			
	a.	Haul off-site			
	۵.	i. ↑ compaction □			
		ii. ↑ erosion □			
		iii. ♥ effects on stream habitat □			
		iv. ♥ wetland filling □			
		Tr. V Westand Hinnig			
	b.	Burn on-site			
	0.	i. ↑ air pollution □			
		ii. ↑ pH □			
		iii. ↑ stream temperature □			
		iv. ↑ wetland filling □			
		v.			
		vi. ♥ fish and invertebrates □			
		vi. ▼ fish and invertebrates □			
	c.	Burn off-site			
	c.	i. ↑ air pollution □			
		ii. ↑ site disturbance □			
		iii. ✓ chemical and biological effects ☐			
		iv. ♥ wetland filling □			
		V.			
	d.	Bury on-site			
	u.	i. ↑ short term site disturbance			
		iii. • wetland filling			
		iv. ♥ habitat quality □			
	0	Bury off-site			
	e.	· • • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		ii.			
		iii. ♥ wetland filling □			
		m. ▼ wettand mining [_]			
6)	Rorrow	of materials			
U)	a.				
	a. b.	↑ weakened streambanks			
	υ.	The weakened sucambanks [
7)	Installation of structural practices				
,		1			

(390-V-NEWPPM, First Edition, July 2006)

a.				
8) Revegetation a. soil erosion b. turbidity c. stream temperature				
5.2.2.5.2 Protecting watershed uplands (Critical area treatment)				
 1) Preparing sites a. ↑ soil compaction ST □ b. ↓ vegetation □ 				
2) Seeding, or planting a.				
 Applying fertilizers, additives, or ground cover, check dams, protection of roads, installindrains, upland diversions, outlet structures, soil compaction a.	ıg			
4) Installing drains a. alter channel course or profile				
5.2.3.2.2 Restore agricultural use to floodplains				
1) Deep tilling a. no effect				
a. ↑ Introduce erodible soils □ b. ↑ sedimentation □ c. ↑ turbidity □ d. ↓ habitat □ e. ↓ channel structure □				
5.2.3.3.2 Upland debris removal (Tornado debris removal)				
1) Create access a. vegetation □				

(390-V-NEWPPM, First Edition, July 2006)

	b. c. d. e. f.	↑ soil compaction ☐ ↓ infiltration ☐ ↑ soil erosion ☐ ↓ streambank stability ☐ ↑ turbidity ☐
2)	g. Use hea	vy equipment
2)		
	a.	♦ erosion LT
	b.	↑ habitat quality LT
	c.	↑ water flow LT
	d.	Ψ soil stability ST □
	e.	♦ erosion □
	f.	Ψ sedimentation □
	g.	↑ water flow LT □

Legend:

- indicates increase in the identified element - indicates decrease in the identified element

ST = short-term effect

LT = long-term effect

Additional effects information can be found in Appendix B flow charts, EWP Final PEIS, (December 2004)